



SPCE: Sound Propagation Comparison Exercise

Bertagnolio, Franck; Bass, Jeremy ; Drobietz, Roger ; Blodau, Tomas ; Søndergaard, Bo ; Bak, Christian; Aagaard Madsen , Helge

Publication date:
2014

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Bertagnolio, F. (Author), Bass, J. (Author), Drobietz, R. (Author), Blodau, T. (Author), Søndergaard, B. (Author), Bak, C. (Author), & Aagaard Madsen , H. (Author). (2014). SPCE: Sound Propagation Comparison Exercise. Sound/Visual production (digital)

General rights


Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

SPCE: Sound Propagation Comparison Exercise

Wind Turbine Sound 2014 Organizing Committee



**EWEA Technology Workshop:
Wind Turbine Sound 2014
9-10 December 2014
Malmö, Sweden**

Comparison Exercise

- **EWEA organized previous comparison exercises**
 - ♦ 2011 CREYAP Part I
 - ♦ 2013 CREYAP Part II
 - ♦ 2013 Offshore CREYAP Part I


<http://www.ewea.org/comparison-exercises/>

- **Idea: Perform similar exercise for**
wind turbine sound propagation
 - ♦ **Sound Propagation Comparison Exercise**
= SPCE

WIND TURBINE NOISE

Noise generation mechanisms

Aerodynamic
and/or
Mechanical noise




Wind turbine noise

As it can be measured
in the direct vicinity
of the wind turbine
& As used for
WT noise assessment



Propagation of sound

Atmospheric conditions,
Orography, Vegetation,
Refraction, Diffraction



Perception of sound by receiver

More subjective,
Sensitivity
of individual,
Quality of noise,
Home insulation



wikiflow

The Physics of Sound Propagation

Influenced by:

➤ **Atmospheric conditions**

- ◆ *Stratification*
- ◆ *Wind direction*
- ◆ *Wind shear/veer*
- ◆ *Turbulence*

➤ **Boundary conditions**

- ◆ *Topography*
- ◆ *Vegetation / Water*
- ◆ *Man-made structures*

➤ **Sound intrinsic properties**

- ◆ *Different frequencies travel differently*
- ◆ *AM*

**... make
predictions
difficult!!!**

From very simple to advanced:

- **Analytic formula**
 - ◆ *Attenuation as fct. of distance*
 - ◆ ...
- **Simplified physics**
 - ◆ *Ray method*
 - ◆ *Vegetation / Water*
 - ◆ *Man-made structures*
- **Partial differential eqns.**
 - ◆ *Parabolic eqns. method*
 - ◆ *CAA*

**Different hypotheses
and implementations
may yield
*very different results!***

Sensitivity of the results to:

- **Local wind turbine site conditions**
- **Model accuracy**
- **Various standards/norms for *quantifying noise immission levels***
- **User itself!!!**
 - ◆ Experience
 - ◆ Interpretation of the local site conditions
 - ◆ Interpretation of the noise sources (corrections/uncertainties)
 - ◆ Interpretation of the results (post-calculation corrections)

Comparison Exercise Objectives

- **Evaluate influence of above parameters**
- **Not a parameter study!**
 - ➔ Rather study influence of parameters relatively to the different methods
- **Evaluate models with respect to each other**
- **How same type of models can differ**
- **How different users may yield different results**
- **Final goal:**
 - ➔ Provide ***guidelines/regulations*** for using sound propagation prediction methods

Comparison Exercise: Test Cases

➤ **Inputs (provided as test cases definition)**

- ♦ 1 landscape with different features in 4 cardinal directions:
 - Flat/hilly
 - Surface cover
 - Sheltered/non-sheltered houses
- ♦ For different atmospheric conditions (?)
- ♦ For different wind speeds & directions
- ♦ Turbine sound data:
 - Location and hub height
 - Overall sound levels and/or 1/3 oct. band spectra
 - Uncertainty
 - AM – Effect of AM at source on far-field noise (?)

Comparison Exercise: Test Cases

➤ **Outputs (returned by the participants)**

- ◆ Noise Levels (L_{eq} , L_{90} , spectra...)
- ◆ At specified locations ranging from 300 to 2000m
- ◆ At specified heights

➔ **Using provided template for the results**

Easier post-processing and analysis of the
“many” participants data!

➤ **Participants also to provide - Survey form:**

- ◆ Organisation name and person responsible
- ◆ Details on noise propagation model
- ◆ Use of post-calculation corrections (if so, why?)
- ◆ Participants welcome to use different prediction methods/models and explain differences

Comparison Exercise: Procedure

- **Participants perform calculations and send back results template and survey form**
 - ◆ Results in pre-defined template
 - ◆ Description of method used, in-house or commercial code, user details
- **EWEA representative collects all data**
 - ◆ Data made anonymous
- **DTU Wind Energy performs data analysis**
 - ◆ Provide a report compiling results and conclusions
 - ◆ Presentation at a later EWEA event

Comparison Exercise: Timeline

- **Call to participant (~ February/March)**
 - ◆ Test-cases definition and result templates sent to those interested in participating
 - ◆ <http://www.ewea.org/comparison-exercises/>
- **Deadline for participants returning results template & survey (~ August/September)**
- **Presentation of the results analysis at EWEA 2015 Annual Event , Paris 17/20 November 2015**



Discussion...

- **What is your opinion on this exercise?**
- **Suggestions concerning the test-cases...**
- **Suggestions concerning the procedure...**